#### How do we get an upper bound to a maximization LP?

 $\max 13a + 23b$ s.t.  $5a + 15b \le 480$  $4a + 4b \le 160$  $35a + 20b \le 1190$  $a, b \ge 0$ 

Note that a lower bound is easy to derive. Every choice of  $a, b \ge 0$  gives us a lower bound (e.g. a = 12, b = 28 gives us a lower bound of 800).

If you take a conic combination of the rows (multiply the *i*-th row with  $y_i \ge 0$ ) such that  $\sum_i y_i a_{ij} \ge c_j$  then  $\sum_i y_i b_i$  will be an upper bound.



◆ 圖 ト ◆ 置 ト ◆ 置 ト 74/491

#### How do we get an upper bound to a maximization LP?

Note that a lower bound is easy to derive. Every choice of  $a, b \ge 0$  gives us a lower bound (e.g. a = 12, b = 28 gives us a lower bound of 800).

If you take a conic combination of the rows (multiply the *i*-th row with  $y_i \ge 0$ ) such that  $\sum_i y_i a_{ij} \ge c_j$  then  $\sum_i y_i b_i$  will be an upper bound.



5.1 Weak Duality

**< @ ▶ < ছ ▶ < ছ ▶** 74/491

#### How do we get an upper bound to a maximization LP?

Note that a lower bound is easy to derive. Every choice of  $a, b \ge 0$  gives us a lower bound (e.g. a = 12, b = 28 gives us a lower bound of 800).

If you take a conic combination of the rows (multiply the *i*-th row with  $y_i \ge 0$ ) such that  $\sum_i y_i a_{ij} \ge c_j$  then  $\sum_i y_i b_i$  will be an upper bound.



#### **Definition 2**

Let  $z = \max\{c^T x \mid Ax \le b, x \ge 0\}$  be a linear program P (called the primal linear program).

The linear program D defined by

$$w = \min\{b^T y \mid A^T y \ge c, y \ge 0\}$$

is called the dual problem.



### **Lemma 3** The dual of the dual problem is the primal problem.

#### **Proof:**

#### The dual problem is

 $0 \leq x_1 d + z \leq x_1 d + z \leq x_2 d + 1$ 

0 < x, y < 0 > 0



5.1 Weak Duality

▲ @ ▶ ▲ 클 ▶ ▲ 클 ▶ 76/491

#### Lemma 3

### The dual of the dual problem is the primal problem.

### Proof:

- $w = \min\{b^T y \mid A^T y \ge c, y \ge 0\}$
- $w = -\max\{-b^T y \mid -A^T y \leq -c, y \geq 0\}$

#### The dual problem is

- $0.0 \le \alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \beta_{n-1} \ge \beta_{n-1} \otimes \beta_{n-1}$



#### Lemma 3

The dual of the dual problem is the primal problem.

#### Proof:

- $w = \min\{b^T y \mid A^T y \ge c, y \ge 0\}$
- $w = -\max\{-b^T y \mid -A^T y \leq -c, y \geq 0\}$

#### The dual problem is

- (1 + 2) = 0.001 (1 + 2) (1 +



#### Lemma 3

The dual of the dual problem is the primal problem.

Proof:

• 
$$w = \min\{b^T y \mid A^T y \ge c, y \ge 0\}$$

• 
$$w = -\max\{-b^T y \mid -A^T y \leq -c, y \geq 0\}$$

The dual problem is

- $z = -\min\{-c^T x \mid -Ax \ge -b, x \ge 0\}$
- $\blacktriangleright z = \max\{c^T x \mid Ax \le b, x \ge 0\}$



#### Lemma 3

The dual of the dual problem is the primal problem.

Proof:

• 
$$w = \min\{b^T y \mid A^T y \ge c, y \ge 0\}$$

• 
$$w = -\max\{-b^T y \mid -A^T y \leq -c, y \geq 0\}$$

The dual problem is

- $z = -\min\{-c^T x \mid -Ax \ge -b, x \ge 0\}$
- $z = \max\{c^T x \mid Ax \le b, x \ge 0\}$



Let  $z = \max\{c^T x \mid Ax \le b, x \ge 0\}$  and  $w = \min\{b^T y \mid A^T y \ge c, y \ge 0\}$  be a primal dual pair.

x is primal feasible iff  $x \in \{x \mid Ax \le b, x \ge 0\}$ 

y is dual feasible, iff  $y \in \{y \mid A^T y \ge c, y \ge 0\}$ .

Theorem 4 (Weak Duality)

Let  $\hat{x}$  be primal feasible and let  $\hat{y}$  be dual feasible. Then

 $c^T \hat{x} \leq z \leq w \leq b^T \hat{y} \; .$ 



Let  $z = \max\{c^T x \mid Ax \le b, x \ge 0\}$  and  $w = \min\{b^T y \mid A^T y \ge c, y \ge 0\}$  be a primal dual pair.

x is primal feasible iff  $x \in \{x \mid Ax \le b, x \ge 0\}$ 

y is dual feasible, iff  $y \in \{y \mid A^T y \ge c, y \ge 0\}$ .

#### **Theorem 4 (Weak Duality)**

Let  $\hat{x}$  be primal feasible and let  $\hat{y}$  be dual feasible. Then

 $c^T \hat{x} \leq z \leq w \leq b^T \hat{y} \ .$ 



 $\begin{aligned} A^T \hat{y} \ge c \Rightarrow \hat{x}^T A^T \hat{y} \ge \hat{x}^T c \ (\hat{x} \ge 0) \\ A \hat{x} \le b \Rightarrow y^T A \hat{x} \le \hat{y}^T b \ (\hat{y} \ge 0) \end{aligned}$ This gives

Since, there exists primal feasible  $\hat{x}$  with  $c^T \hat{x} = z$ , and dual feasible  $\hat{y}$  with  $b^T y = w$  we get  $z \le w$ .

If P is unbounded then D is infeasible.



 $A^T \hat{\gamma} \ge c \Rightarrow \hat{\chi}^T A^T \hat{\gamma} \ge \hat{\chi}^T c \ (\hat{\chi} \ge 0)$ 

This gives

Since, there exists primal feasible  $\hat{x}$  with  $c^T \hat{x} = z$ , and dual feasible  $\hat{y}$  with  $b^T y = w$  we get  $z \le w$ .

If P is unbounded then D is infeasible.



 $A^T \hat{y} \ge c \Rightarrow \hat{x}^T A^T \hat{y} \ge \hat{x}^T c \ (\hat{x} \ge 0)$ 

 $A\hat{x} \le b \Rightarrow y^T A \hat{x} \le \hat{y}^T b \ (\hat{y} \ge 0)$ 

This gives

Since, there exists primal feasible  $\hat{x}$  with  $c^T \hat{x} = z$ , and dual feasible  $\hat{y}$  with  $b^T y = w$  we get  $z \le w$ .

If P is unbounded then D is infeasible.



 $A^{T}\hat{y} \ge c \Rightarrow \hat{x}^{T}A^{T}\hat{y} \ge \hat{x}^{T}c \ (\hat{x} \ge 0)$  $A\hat{x} \le b \Rightarrow y^{T}A\hat{x} \le \hat{y}^{T}b \ (\hat{y} \ge 0)$ 

Since, there exists primal feasible  $\hat{x}$  with  $c^T \hat{x} = z$ , and dual feasible  $\hat{y}$  with  $b^T y = w$  we get  $z \le w$ .

If P is unbounded then D is infeasible.



 $A^{T}\hat{y} \ge c \Rightarrow \hat{x}^{T}A^{T}\hat{y} \ge \hat{x}^{T}c \ (\hat{x} \ge 0)$  $A\hat{x} \le b \Rightarrow y^{T}A\hat{x} \le \hat{y}^{T}b \ (\hat{y} \ge 0)$ 

This gives

Since, there exists primal feasible  $\hat{x}$  with  $c^T \hat{x} = z$ , and dual feasible  $\hat{y}$  with  $b^T y = w$  we get  $z \le w$ .

If P is unbounded then D is infeasible.



 $A^{T}\hat{y} \ge c \Rightarrow \hat{x}^{T}A^{T}\hat{y} \ge \hat{x}^{T}c \ (\hat{x} \ge 0)$  $A\hat{x} \le b \Rightarrow y^{T}A\hat{x} \le \hat{y}^{T}b \ (\hat{y} \ge 0)$ 

This gives

Since, there exists primal feasible  $\hat{x}$  with  $c^T \hat{x} = z$ , and dual feasible  $\hat{y}$  with  $b^T y = w$  we get  $z \le w$ .

If P is unbounded then D is infeasible.



5.1 Weak Duality

▲ ●
◆ ●
◆ ●
78/491

$$\begin{aligned} A^T \hat{y} &\geq c \Rightarrow \hat{x}^T A^T \hat{y} \geq \hat{x}^T c \ (\hat{x} \geq 0) \\ A \hat{x} &\leq b \Rightarrow y^T A \hat{x} \leq \hat{y}^T b \ (\hat{y} \geq 0) \end{aligned}$$

This gives

### $c^T \hat{x} \leq \hat{y}^T A \hat{x} \leq b^T \hat{y} \ .$

Since, there exists primal feasible  $\hat{x}$  with  $c^T \hat{x} = z$ , and dual feasible  $\hat{y}$  with  $b^T y = w$  we get  $z \le w$ .

If P is unbounded then D is infeasible.



$$\begin{aligned} A^T \hat{y} &\geq c \Rightarrow \hat{x}^T A^T \hat{y} \geq \hat{x}^T c \ (\hat{x} \geq 0) \\ A \hat{x} &\leq b \Rightarrow y^T A \hat{x} \leq \hat{y}^T b \ (\hat{y} \geq 0) \end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$c^T \hat{x} \leq \hat{y}^T A \hat{x} \leq b^T \hat{y} \ .$$

Since, there exists primal feasible  $\hat{x}$  with  $c^T \hat{x} = z$ , and dual feasible  $\hat{y}$  with  $b^T y = w$  we get  $z \le w$ .

If P is unbounded then D is infeasible.



$$A^{T}\hat{y} \ge c \Rightarrow \hat{x}^{T}A^{T}\hat{y} \ge \hat{x}^{T}c \ (\hat{x} \ge 0)$$
$$A\hat{x} \le b \Rightarrow y^{T}A\hat{x} \le \hat{y}^{T}b \ (\hat{y} \ge 0)$$

This gives

$$c^T \hat{x} \leq \hat{y}^T A \hat{x} \leq b^T \hat{y} \ .$$

Since, there exists primal feasible  $\hat{x}$  with  $c^T \hat{x} = z$ , and dual feasible  $\hat{y}$  with  $b^T y = w$  we get  $z \le w$ .

If P is unbounded then D is infeasible.



The following linear programs form a primal dual pair:

$$z = \max\{c^T x \mid Ax = b, x \ge 0\}$$
$$w = \min\{b^T y \mid A^T y \ge c\}$$

This means for computing the dual of a standard form LP, we do not have non-negativity constraints for the dual variables.

