#### **Definition 2**

An (s, t)-flow in a (complete) directed graph  $G = (V, V \times V, c)$  is a function  $f : V \times V \mapsto \mathbb{R}_0^+$  that satisfies

**1.** For each edge (x, y)

 $0 \leq f_{xy} \leq c_{xy}$  .

(capacity constraints)

**2.** For each  $v \in V \setminus \{s, t\}$ 

$$\sum_{x} f_{vx} = \sum_{x} f_{xv} \; .$$

(flow conservation constraints)



▲ ● ▲ ● ▲ ● ▲
114/491

#### **Definition 2**

An (s, t)-flow in a (complete) directed graph  $G = (V, V \times V, c)$  is a function  $f : V \times V \mapsto \mathbb{R}_0^+$  that satisfies

**1.** For each edge (x, y)

 $0 \leq f_{xy} \leq c_{xy}$  .

(capacity constraints)

**2.** For each  $v \in V \setminus \{s, t\}$ 

$$\sum_{x} f_{vx} = \sum_{x} f_{xv} \ .$$

(flow conservation constraints)



### **Definition 3** The value of an (s, t)-flow f is defined as

$$\operatorname{val}(f) = \sum_{X} f_{SX} - \sum_{X} f_{XS} .$$

Maximum Flow Problem: Find an (s,t)-flow with maximum value.



5.6 Computing Duals

▲ 個 ▶ ▲ 필 ▶ ▲ 필 ▶ 115/491

### **Definition 3** The value of an (s, t)-flow f is defined as

$$\operatorname{val}(f) = \sum_{X} f_{SX} - \sum_{X} f_{XS} .$$

#### Maximum Flow Problem:

Find an (s, t)-flow with maximum value.



max		$\sum_{z} f_{sz} - \sum_{z} f_{zs}$			
s.t.	$\forall (z, w) \in V \times V$	$f_{zw}$	$\leq$	$C_{ZW}$	$\ell_{zw}$
	$\forall w \neq s, t$	$\sum_{z} f_{zw} - \sum_{z} f_{wz}$	=	0	$p_w$
		$f_{zw}$	$\geq$	0	

min		$\sum_{(xy)} c_{xy} \ell_{xy}$		
s.t.	$f_{xy}(x, y \neq s, t)$ :	$1\ell_{xy}-1p_x+1p_y$	$\geq$	0
	$f_{sy}(y \neq s,t)$ :	$1\ell_{sy}$ $+1p_y$	$\geq$	1
	$f_{xs} (x \neq s, t)$ :	$1\ell_{xs}-1p_x$	$\geq$	-1
	$f_{ty}(y \neq s,t)$ :	$1\ell_{ty}$ $+1p_y$	$\geq$	0
	$f_{xt} (x \neq s, t)$ :	$1\ell_{xt}-1p_x$	$\geq$	0
	$f_{st}$ :	$1\ell_{st}$	$\geq$	1
	$f_{ts}$ :	$1\ell_{ts}$	$\geq$	-1
		$\ell_{xy}$	$\geq$	0



5.6 Computing Duals



5.6 Computing Duals

◆ 個 ▶ ◆ 置 ▶ ◆ 置 ▶ 117/491

with  $p_t = 0$  and  $p_s = 1$ .



5.6 Computing Duals

min		$\sum_{(xy)} c_{xy} \ell_{xy}$		
s.t.	$f_{xy}$ :	$1\ell_{xy}-1p_x+1p_y$	$\geq$	0
		$\ell_{xy}$	$\geq$	0
		$p_s$	=	1
		$p_t$	=	0

We can interpret the  $\ell_{xy}$  value as assigning a length to every edge.

The value  $p_x$  for a variable, then can be seen as the distance of x to t (where the distance from s to t is required to be 1 since  $p_s = 1$ ).

The constraint  $p_x \leq \ell_{xy} + p_y$  then simply follows from triangle inequality  $(d(x,t) \leq d(x,y) + d(y,t) \Rightarrow d(x,t) \leq \ell_{xy} + d(y,t))$ .



#### We can interpret the $\ell_{xy}$ value as assigning a length to every edge.

The value  $p_x$  for a variable, then can be seen as the distance of x to t(where the distance from s to t is required to be 1 since  $p_s = 1$ ).

The constraint  $p_x \leq \ell_{xy} + p_y$  then simply follows from triangle inequality  $(d(x,t) \leq d(x,y) + d(y,t) \Rightarrow d(x,t) \leq \ell_{xy} + d(y,t))$ .



5.6 Computing Duals

▲ @ ▶ ▲ 클 ▶ ▲ 클 ▶ 119/491

We can interpret the  $\ell_{xy}$  value as assigning a length to every edge.

The value  $p_x$  for a variable, then can be seen as the distance of x to t (where the distance from s to t is required to be 1 since  $p_s = 1$ ).

The constraint  $p_x \le \ell_{xy} + p_y$  then simply follows from triangle inequality  $(d(x,t) \le d(x,y) + d(y,t) \Rightarrow d(x,t) \le \ell_{xy} + d(y,t)).$ 



5.6 Computing Duals

▲ 個 ▶ ▲ 클 ▶ ▲ 클 ▶ 119/491

We can interpret the  $\ell_{xy}$  value as assigning a length to every edge.

The value  $p_x$  for a variable, then can be seen as the distance of x to t (where the distance from s to t is required to be 1 since  $p_s = 1$ ).

The constraint  $p_x \leq \ell_{xy} + p_y$  then simply follows from triangle inequality ( $d(x,t) \leq d(x,y) + d(y,t) \Rightarrow d(x,t) \leq \ell_{xy} + d(y,t)$ ).



# One can show that there is an optimum LP-solution for the dual problem that gives an integral assignment of variables.

This means  $p_x = 1$  or  $p_x = 0$  for our case. This gives rise to a cut in the graph with vertices having value 1 on one side and the other vertices on the other side. The objective function then evaluates the capacity of this cut.

This shows that the Maxflow/Mincut theorem follows from linear programming duality.



One can show that there is an optimum LP-solution for the dual problem that gives an integral assignment of variables.

This means  $p_{\chi} = 1$  or  $p_{\chi} = 0$  for our case. This gives rise to a cut in the graph with vertices having value 1 on one side and the other vertices on the other side. The objective function then evaluates the capacity of this cut.

This shows that the Maxflow/Mincut theorem follows from linear programming duality.



One can show that there is an optimum LP-solution for the dual problem that gives an integral assignment of variables.

This means  $p_{\chi} = 1$  or  $p_{\chi} = 0$  for our case. This gives rise to a cut in the graph with vertices having value 1 on one side and the other vertices on the other side. The objective function then evaluates the capacity of this cut.

This shows that the Maxflow/Mincut theorem follows from linear programming duality.

