## 14.3 Highest label

#### **Algorithm 51** highest-label(*G*, *s*, *t*)

1: initialize preflow

- 2: foreach  $u \in V \setminus \{s, t\}$  do
- 3: *u.current-neighbour ← u.neighbour-list-head*

### 4: while $\exists$ active node u do

- 5: select active node *u* with highest label
- 6: discharge(u)

Contraction Contraction Contraction

519

# 14.3 Highest label

Since a discharge-operation is terminated by a non-saturating push this gives an upper bound of  $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$  on the number of discharge-operations.

The cost for relabels and saturating pushes can be estimated in exactly the same way as in the case of the generic push-relabel algorithm.

#### **Question:**

How do we find the next node for a discharge operation?

## 14.3 Highest label

#### Lemma 1

When using highest label the number of non-saturating pushes is only  $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$ .

A push from a node on level  $\ell$  can only "activate" nodes on levels strictly less than  $\ell.$ 

This means, after a non-saturating push from u a relabel is required to make u active again.

Hence, after n non-saturating pushes without an intermediate relabel there are no active nodes left.

Therefore, the number of non-saturating pushes is at most  $n(\#relabels + 1) = O(n^3)$ .

### 14.3 Highest label

Maintain lists  $L_i$ ,  $i \in \{0, ..., 2n\}$ , where list  $L_i$  contains active nodes with label i (maintaining these lists induces only constant additional cost for every push-operation and for every relabel-operation).

After a discharge operation terminated for a node u with label k, traverse the lists  $L_k, L_{k-1}, \ldots, L_0$ , (in that order) until you find a non-empty list.

Unless the last (non-saturating) push was to s or t the list k-1 must be non-empty (i.e., the search takes constant time).

## 14.3 Highest label

Hence, the total time required for searching for active nodes is at most

 $O(n^3) + n(\#non-saturating-pushes-to-s-or-t)$ 

### Lemma 2

The number of non-saturating pushes to s or t is at most  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ .

With this lemma we get

### **Theorem 3**

The push-relabel algorithm with the rule highest-label takes time  $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$ .

14.3 Highest label	
U U G Harald Räcke	

# 14.3 Highest label

### Proof of the Lemma.

- ► We only show that the number of pushes to the source is at most O(n<sup>2</sup>). A similar argument holds for the target.
- After a node v (which must have ℓ(v) = n + 1) made a non-saturating push to the source there needs to be another node whose label is increased from ≤ n + 1 to n + 2 before v can become active again.
- This happens for every push that v makes to the source. Since, every node can pass the threshold n + 2 at most once, v can make at most n pushes to the source.
- ► As this holds for every node the total number of pushes to the source is at most O(n<sup>2</sup>).

© Harald Räcke

523

14.3 Highest label



