Proof

Max cardinality matching in $G \leq$ value of maxflow in G'

- Given a maximum matching *M* of cardinality *k*.
- Consider flow *f* that sends one unit along each of *k* paths.
- f is a flow and has cardinality k.





Proof

Max cardinality matching in $G \ge$ value of maxflow in G'

- Let f be a maxflow in G' of value k
- Integrality theorem $\Rightarrow k$ integral; we can assume f is 0/1.
- Consider M= set of edges from L to R with f(e) = 1.
- Each node in *L* and *R* participates in at most one edge in *M*.
- |M| = k, as the flow must use at least k middle edges.



aseball Elimination						
team	wins	losses	remaining games			
i	w _i	ℓ_i	Atl	Phi	NY	Mon
Atlanta	83	71	-	1	6	1
Philadelphia	80	79	1	-	0	2
New York	78	78	6	0	_	0
Montreal	77	82	1	2	0	-

Which team can end the season with most wins?

- Montreal is eliminated, since even after winning all remaining games there are only 80 wins.
- But also Philadelphia is eliminated. Why?

EADS

476

Baseball Elimination

Formal definition of the problem:

- Given a set *S* of teams, and one specific team $z \in S$.
- Team x has already won w_x games.
- Team x still has to play team y, r_{xy} times.
- Does team z still have a chance to finish with the most number of wins.

EADS © Ernst Mayr, Harald Räcke	13.2 Baseball Elimination



Baseball Elimination

Flow network for z = 3. *M* is number of wins Team 3 can still obtain.



that no team gets too many wins.

EADS ©Ernst Mayr, Harald Räcke	13.2 Baseball Elimination	
🛛 🛄 🖓 🖉 Ernst Mayr, Harald Räcke		479

Theorem 1

478

A team z is eliminated if and only if the flow network for z does not allow a flow of value $\sum_{ij \in S \setminus \{z\}, i < j} r_{ij}$.

Proof (⇐)

- Consider the mincut A in the flow network. Let T be the set of team-nodes in A.
- If for a node x-y not both team-nodes x and y are in T, then x-y ∉ A as otw. the cut would cut an infinite capacity edge.
- We don't find a flow that saturates all source edges:

$$r(S \setminus \{z\}) > \operatorname{cap}(A, V \setminus A)$$

$$\geq \sum_{i < j: i \notin T \lor j \notin T} r_{ij} + \sum_{i \in T} (M - w_i)$$

$$\geq r(S \setminus \{z\}) - r(T) + |T|M - w(T)$$

► This gives M < (w(T) + r(T))/|T|, i.e., z is eliminated.

Baseball Elimination

Proof (⇒)

- Suppose we have a flow that saturates all source edges.
- We can assume that this flow is integral.
- For every pairing x-y it defines how many games team x and team y should win.
- The flow leaving the team-node x can be interpreted as the additional number of wins that team x will obtain.
- This is less than $M w_{\chi}$ because of capacity constraints.
- Hence, we found a set of results for the remaining games, such that no team obtains more than M wins in total.
- Hence, team *z* is not eliminated.

	13.2 Baseball Elimination	
🛛 🕒 🛛 🖉 © Ernst Mayr, Harald Räcke		482

<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><image>

Project Selection

Project selection problem:

- Set *P* of possible projects. Project *v* has an associated profit *p_v* (can be positive or negative).
- Some projects have requirements (taking course EA2 requires course EA1).
- Dependencies are modelled in a graph. Edge (u, v) means "can't do project u without also doing project v."
- ► A subset *A* of projects is feasible if the prerequisites of every project in *A* also belong to *A*.

Goal: Find a feasible set of projects that maximizes the profit.

EADS © Ernst Mayr, Harald Räcke	13.3 Project Selection	
UUU©Ernst Mayr, Harald Räcke		483

Project Selection

484

Mincut formulation:

- Edges in the prerequisite graph get infinite capacity.
- Add edge (s, v) with capacity pv for nodes v with positive profit.
- Create edge (v, t) with capacity -pv for nodes v with negative profit.

