

=[fill=DarkGreen,draw=DarkGreen]

Overview: Shortest Augmenting Paths

Lemma 55

The length of the shortest augmenting path never decreases.

Lemma 56

After at most $\mathcal{O}(m)$ augmentations, the length of the shortest augmenting path strictly increases.

Overview: Shortest Augmenting Paths

These two lemmas give the following theorem:

Theorem 57

The shortest augmenting path algorithm performs at most $\mathcal{O}(mn)$ augmentations. This gives a running time of $\mathcal{O}(m^2n)$.

Proof.

- ▶ We can find the shortest augmenting paths in time $\mathcal{O}(m)$ via BFS.
- ▶ $\mathcal{O}(m)$ augmentations for paths of exactly $k < n$ edges.


□

Shortest Augmenting Paths

Define the level $\ell(v)$ of a node as the length of the shortest s - v path in G_f .

Let L_G denote the **subgraph** of the residual graph G_f that contains only those edges (u, v) with $\ell(v) = \ell(u) + 1$.

A path P is a shortest s - t path in G_f if it is an s - t path in L_G .




Shortest Augmenting Path

First Lemma: The length of the shortest augmenting path never decreases.

- ▶ After an augmentation the following changes are done in G_f .
- ▶ Some edges of the chosen path may be deleted (bottleneck edges).
- ▶ Back edges are added to all edges that don't have back edges so far.

These changes cannot decrease the distance between s and t .




Shortest Augmenting Path

Second Lemma: After at most m augmentations the length of the shortest augmenting path strictly increases.

Let E_L denote the set of edges in graph L_G at the beginning of a round when the distance between s and t is k .

An s - t path in G_f that does use edges not in E_L has length larger than k , even when considering edges added to G_f during the round.

In each augmentation one edge is deleted from E_L .



Shortest Augmenting Paths

Theorem 58

The shortest augmenting path algorithm performs at most $\mathcal{O}(mn)$ augmentations. Each augmentation can be performed in time $\mathcal{O}(m)$.

Theorem 59 (without proof)

There exist networks with $m = \Theta(n^2)$ that require $\mathcal{O}(mn)$ augmentations, when we restrict ourselves to only augment along shortest augmenting paths.

Note:

There always exists a set of m augmentations that gives a maximum flow.

Shortest Augmenting Paths

When sticking to shortest augmenting paths we cannot improve (asymptotically) on the number of augmentations.

However, we can improve the running time to $\mathcal{O}(mn^2)$ by improving the running time for finding an augmenting path (currently we assume $\mathcal{O}(m)$ per augmentation for this).

Shortest Augmenting Paths

We maintain a subset E_L of the edges of G_f with the guarantee that a shortest s - t path using only edges from E_L is a shortest augmenting path.

With each augmentation some edges are deleted from E_L .

When E_L does not contain an s - t path anymore the distance between s and t strictly increases.

Note that E_L is not the set of edges of the level graph but a subset of level-graph edges.

Suppose that the initial distance between s and t in G_f is k .

E_L is initialized as the level graph L_G .

Perform a DFS search to find a path from s to t using edges from E_L .

Either you find t after at most n steps, or you end at a node v that does not have any outgoing edges.

You can delete incoming edges of v from E_L .

Let a phase of the algorithm be defined by the time between two augmentations during which the distance between s and t strictly increases.

Initializing E_L for the phase takes time $\mathcal{O}(m)$.

The total cost for searching for augmenting paths during a phase is at most $\mathcal{O}(mn)$, since every search (successful (i.e., reaching t) or unsuccessful) decreases the number of edges in E_L and takes time $\mathcal{O}(n)$.

The total cost for performing an augmentation **during** a phase is only $\mathcal{O}(n)$. For every edge in the augmenting path one has to update the residual graph G_f and has to check whether the edge is still in E_L for the next search.

There are at most n phases. Hence, total cost is $\mathcal{O}(mn^2)$.