Greedy-algorithm:

- start with f(e) = 0 everywhere
- ▶ find an *s*-*t* path with *f*(*e*) < *c*(*e*) on every edge
- augment flow along the path
- repeat as long as possible



The Residual Graph

From the graph G = (V, E, c) and the current flow f we construct an auxiliary graph $G_f = (V, E_f, c_f)$ (the residual graph):

- Suppose the original graph has edges $e_1 = (u, v)$, and $e_2 = (v, u)$ between u and v.
- G_f has edge e'_1 with capacity $\max\{0, c(e_1) f(e_1) + f(e_2)\}$ and e'_2 with with capacity $\max\{0, c(e_2) - f(e_2) + f(e_1)\}$.





12.1 Generic Augmenting Path

Definition 50 An augmenting path with respect to flow f, is a path in the auxiliary graph G_f that contains only edges with non-zero capacity.

Algorithm 45 FordFulkerson(G = (V, E, c))

- 1: Initialize $f(e) \leftarrow 0$ for all edges.
- 2: while \exists augmenting path p in G_f do
- 3: augment as much flow along p as possible.



Theorem 51 A flow f is a maximum flow **iff** there are no augmenting paths.

Theorem 52 *The value of a maximum flow is equal to the value of a minimum cut.*

Proof.

Let f be a flow. The following are equivalent:

- 1. There exists a cut A, B such that val(f) = cap(A, B).
- 2. Flow f is a maximum flow.
- 3. There is no augmenting path w.r.t. f.

 $1. \Rightarrow 2.$

This we already showed.

 $2. \Rightarrow 3.$

If there were an augmenting path, we could improve the flow. Contradiction.

 $3. \Rightarrow 1.$

- Let *f* be a flow with no augmenting paths.
- ► Let *A* be the set of vertices reachable from *s* in the residual graph along non-zero capacity edges.
- Since there is no augmenting path we have $s \in A$ and $t \notin A$.



$$\operatorname{val}(f) = \sum_{e \in \operatorname{out}(A)} f(e) - \sum_{e \in \operatorname{into}(A)} f(e)$$
$$= \sum_{e \in \operatorname{out}(A)} c(e)$$
$$= \operatorname{cap}(A, V \setminus A)$$

This finishes the proof.

Here the first equality uses the flow value lemma, and the second exploits the fact that the flow along incoming edges must be 0 as the residual graph does not have edges leaving A.



Analysis

Assumption: All capacities are integers between 1 and *C*.

Invariant:

Every flow value f(e) and every residual capacity $c_f(e)$ remains integral troughout the algorithm.



Lemma 53

The algorithm terminates in at most $val(f^*) \le nC$ iterations, where f^* denotes the maximum flow. Each iteration can be implemented in time O(m). This gives a total running time of O(nmC).

Theorem 54

If all capacities are integers, then there exists a maximum flow for which every flow value f(e) is integral.



A bad input

Problem: The running time may not be polynomial.



Question:

Can we tweak the algorithm so that the running time is polynomial in the input length?

A bad input

Problem: The running time may not be polynomial.



Question:

Can we tweak the algorithm so that the running time is polynomial in the input length?

A Pathological Input

Let
$$r = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{5} - 1)$$
. Then $r^{n+2} = r^n - r^{n+1}$



Running time may be infinite!!!



12.1 Generic Augmenting Path

How to choose augmenting paths?

- We need to find paths efficiently.
- We want to guarantee a small number of iterations.

Several possibilities:

- Choose path with maximum bottleneck capacity.
- Choose path with sufficiently large bottleneck capacity.
- Choose the shortest augmenting path.

