JOINT ADVANCED STUDENT SCHOOL 2011, ZELENOGRAD

Unified Logical Effort-A Method for Delay Evaluation and Minimization in Logic Paths with RC Interconnect

Final Report by Hela Jedda

Institute of Electronic Design Automation Technische Universität München Univ.-Prof. Dr.-Ing. Ulf Schlichtmann

Supervisor: Dipl.-Ing. Christoph Knoth Submitted: 28.04.2011

CONTENTS

1.	Intr	roduction	3
2.	Мог	re about the logic gates	
	2.1	Model of a logic gate	4
	2.2	Logic gate's parameters	5
3.	Delay evaluation and minimization using Unified Logical Effort		
		Delay evaluation of a logic gate	
	3.2	Delay evaluation of logic gate with interconnect	
	3.3		
	3.4	ULE Optimization in paths with branches	
	3.5	Comparison with benchmark circuits	14
4.	Sun	nmary	15
List	: of Fi	igures	
Bib	liogr	aphy	

1. INTRODUCTION

Nowadays time is very valuable. Every second costs money. Everything is getting faster and faster: trains, cars, mobile phones... and mainly processors. Fast processors are needed to avoid wasting time on waiting for loading an internet page or the execution of an instruction.

Talking about fast processors means talking about fast logic gates, which introduces the main task in designing CMOS circuits: how to get fast logic gates? Or how should the logic gates' transistors be designed to achieve the greatest speed or to obtain the least delay?

In this paper a method will be introduced to get the answer of these questions. It is called **Unified Logical Effort (ULE)**[1].

The Unified Logical Effort is an easy way of delay evaluation and minimization in CMOS circuits.

It is an extension of the Logical Effort model, which was first introduced by Sutherland [2], [3]. This method considers only the delay caused by the logic gates and neglect on-chip wires. However the circuits continue to scale, so that the delay of wires becomes not negligible anymore and the Logical Effort needs improvement.

With the method of Unified Logical Effort the logic gates as well as the wires are taken into consideration to evaluate the delay and then to minimize it.

This method comprises two steps:

- Delay evaluation
- Delay optimization

2. MORE ABOUT THE LOGIC GATES

The Figure 1 shows the case of the inverter, which is the simplest logic gate. On the left diagram the output changes simultaneously with the input. However this is not real. In reality it responses after a period of time, which is called the **time delay**.





Minimizing this time delay is the target in designing fast CMOS circuits. In this chapter the causes of the this delay is introduced.

2.1 Model of a logic gate

In the Figure 2 the inner structure of the inverter is shown:



Figure 2: Inside the inverter

The inverter is composed of two transistors:

- **p-mos** transistor
- **n-mos** transistor

Each transistor can be modeled with 3 capacitances (a gate capacitance, a drain capacitance and a source capacitance) and 1 resistance. The values of these parameters depend on transistor's width.

If an n-mos transistor has the width $W = x_i W_0$, its capacitances are equal to $x_i C_0$ and its resistance to R_0/x_i . C_0 and R_0 are the capacitor and resistor values of the minimum sized inverter ($W = W_0$ and $x_i = 1$).

With the same width $W = x_i W_0$ the p-mos transistor has the same capacitances but the double resistance as the n-mos transistor because the holes are twice as slow as the electrons.

Both models connected together present the following inverter model, which is called **The General RC Inverter Model**.





Thus the inverter and in general each logic gate has:

- **an input capacitance** *C_i*: the capacitance of the transistor gates connected to the input
- **an output resistance** *R_i*: pulldown resistance *R_{di}* or pullup resistance *R_{ui}* depending on which switch conducts
- **a parasitic capacitance** *C*_{*pi*}: due to the inner capacitances
- **a load Capacitance** *C*_{out} : the capacitance that the gate has to drive

2.2 Logic gate's parameters

The quantities C_i , C_{pi} and R_i of each logic gate depend on the logic function and the logic gate size. Every logic gate is defined as a scaled version of a *template circuit*, which is the minimum sized symmetric inverter with the minimal width $W = W_0$, input capacitance $C_i = C_0$, output resistance $R_i = R_{ui} = R_{di} = R_0$ and parasitic capacitance $C_{pi} = C_{p0}$. Thus the quantities of each logic gate are related to the template parameters and the scaling factor x_i :

$$R_i = R_{ui} = R_{di} = \frac{R_0}{x_i} \tag{1}$$

$$C_i \propto C_0 x_i \tag{2}$$

Scaling the template means scaling the transistors 'widths by the factor x_i . As shown in the Figure 2 the capacitances and the resistance of the transistor are respectively proportional and inversely proportional to the transistor width.

The input capacitance C_i of a logic gate is driven by the previous logic gate. Depending on its load the load capacitance C_{out} and the parasitic capacitance C_{pi} may be respectively charged or discharged through the pullup or the pulldown resistance.

Charching and discharching capacitors through resistors take time, which represents the time delay.

3. DELAY EVALUATION AND MINIMIZATION USING UNIFIED LOGICAL EFFORT

3.1 Delay evaluation of a logic gate

As said above the delay results from charging and discharging capacitors through resistors.

That means the delay depends on the output capacitance, the parasitic capacitance, the output resistance and the input capacitance.

The delay is comprised of two components:

- a fixed part caused by the parasitic capacitance called *the parasitic delay p* [4]
- a part caused by the output capacitance, resistance and the input capacitance called *the effort delay f*

The sum of the two parts gives the total delay:

$$d = f + p \tag{3}$$

The effort delay is also comprised of two components:

- a part caused by the load capacitance called *the electrical effort h* [5]
- a part caused by the input capacitance and the output resistance called *the logical effort g*[6]

The effort delay of the logic gate is the product of these two factors:

$$f = g * h \tag{4}$$

The logical effort g quantifies the contribution of the logic gate's topology to the delay. It is independent of the transistors' size in the circuit. Because the inverter is the simplest logic gate, it drives loads best. The other logic gates have more transistors, some of which are connected in series, increasing the output resistance and hence the delay.

The electrical effort h captures the effect of the load capacitance on the delay considering the ratio of driving capabilities and leads to drive the input capacitance. It is defined by: $h = \frac{C_{out}}{C_{c}}$

So the basic equation of the total delay through a single logic gate is

$$d = g * h + p \tag{5}$$

Examples:



However, as the logic gates are getting smaller and smaller, the contribution of the on-chip wires can't be neglected anymore.



Figure 1: How to evaluate the delay considering the wires?

3.2 Delay evaluation of logic gate with interconnect

Thanks to the Elmore delay model [7] the delay of a circuit comprising logic gates and wires can be easily calculated.

The Elmore delay of the following RC-circuit is defined by:

$$D = R_1(C_1 + C_2 + C_3) + R_2(C_2 + C_3) + R_3C_3$$
(6)



Figure 2: RC-Circuit

Analog to the Elmore delay the absolute delay expression of the following first logic gate is

$$D_{i} = R_{i} (C_{pi} + C_{w_{i}} + C_{i+1}) + R_{w_{i}} (0.5 . C_{w_{i}} + C_{i+1})$$
(7)



Figure 3: Cascaded logic gates with RC-interconnect

This expression can be rewritten in function of the delay of a minimum sized inverter $\tau = R_0 C_0$, where R_0 and C_0 are the output resistance and input capacitance of a minimum sized inverter:

$$D_{i} = \tau . d_{i} = \tau . \left[\frac{R_{i}}{R_{0}} \cdot \frac{\left(C_{w_{i}} + C_{i+1} + C_{p_{i}}\right)}{C_{0}} + \frac{R_{w_{i}}}{R_{0}C_{0}} \cdot \left(0.5 \cdot C_{w_{i}} + C_{i+1}\right) \right]$$
(8)

The delay d_i normalized with respect to a minimum sized inverter delay τ is defined by:

$$d_{i} = g_{i} \cdot \left(h_{i} + \frac{C_{w_{i}}}{C_{i}}\right) + \frac{R_{w_{i}} \cdot \left(0.5.C_{w_{i}} + C_{i+1}\right)}{\tau} + p_{i}$$
(9)

where

and

$$g_i = \frac{R_i \cdot C_i}{R_0 \cdot C_0}$$
 is the logical effort,
 $h_i = \frac{C_{i+1}}{C_i}$ is the electrical effort
 $p_i = \frac{R_i \cdot C_{pi}}{R_0 \cdot C_0}$ is the parasitic delay

The capacitive interconnect effort h_w and the resistive interconnect effort p_w are, respectively,

$$h_{w_i} = \frac{C_{w_i}}{C_i} \tag{10}$$

$$p_{w_i} = \frac{R_{w_i} \cdot \left(0, 5 \cdot C_{w_i} + C_{i+1}\right)}{\tau} \tag{11}$$

The wire influences the electrical effort of the logic gate with h_w and contributes more delay to the total delay with p_w .

The final expression of the ULE delay of a single logic gate considering the interconnect is:

$$d = g * (h + h_w) + (p + p_w)$$
(12)

For an N stage logic path with interconnect the ULE delay is the sum of each delay of the single stage:

$$d = \sum_{i=1}^{N} g_i * (h_i + h_{w_i}) + (p_i + p_{w_i})$$
(13)



Figure 4: Logic gate delay with interconnect

3.3 Delay minimization using Unified Logical Effort





The total delay of the two stages is:

$$d = g_i * (h_i + h_{w_i}) + (p_i + p_{w_i}) + g_{i+1} * (h_{i+1} + h_{w_{i+1}}) + (p_{i+1} + p_{w_{i+1}})$$
(14)

$$d = g_i * \left(h_i + \frac{C_{w_i}}{C_i}\right) + p_i + \frac{R_{w_i} \cdot \left(0.5 \cdot C_{w_i} + C_{i+1}\right)}{R_0 \cdot C_0} + g_{i+1} \left(\frac{C_{i+2}}{C_{i+1}} + \frac{C_{w_{i+1}}}{C_{i+1}}\right) + p_{i+1} + p_{w_{i+1}}$$
(15)

with $C_{i+1} = h_i . C_i$,

$$d = g_i * \left(h_i + \frac{C_{w_i}}{C_i}\right) + p_i + \frac{R_{w_i} \cdot \left(0.5 \cdot C_{w_i} + C_{i+1}\right)}{R_0 \cdot C_0} + g_{i+1} \left(\frac{C_{i+2}}{h_i \cdot C_i} + \frac{C_{w_{i+1}}}{C_{i+1}}\right) + p_{i+1} + p_{w_{i+1}}$$
(16)

To achieve the least delay the logic gates 'transistors must have the optimal size, that means the derivative of the delay with respect to the logic gate size must be equated to zero,

$$\left(g_{i} + \frac{R_{w_{i}} \cdot C_{i}}{R_{0} \cdot C_{0}}\right) \cdot h_{i} = g_{i+1} * \left(h_{i+1} + h_{w_{i+1}}\right)$$
(17)

By multiplying by $R_0 C_0$ and using the relationships $h_i = \frac{C_{i+1}}{C_i}$, $C_i = C_0 g_i \cdot x_i$ and $R_i = \frac{R_0}{x_i}$

The optimum condition can be rewritten as following:

$$(R_i + R_{w_i}) \cdot C_{i+1} = R_{i+1} \cdot (C_{i+2} + C_{w_{i+1}})$$
(18)

That means that the optimum size of gate *i*+1 is met when the delay part $(R_i + R_{w_i}) \cdot C_{i+1}$ caused by the logic gate input capacitance is equal to the delay part $R_{i+1} \cdot (C_{i+2} + C_{w_{i+1}})$ caused by the output resistance of the logic gate.

The delay due to the capacitance of gate *i* is defined by:

$$D_{C_i} = (R_{i-1} + R_{w_{i-1}}) \cdot C_i = (R_{i-1} + R_{w_{i-1}}) \cdot C_0 \cdot g_i \cdot x_i$$
(19)

The delay due to the resistance of gate *i* is defined by:

$$D_{R_i} = R_i \cdot (C_{i+1} + C_{w_i}) = \frac{R_0}{x_i} \cdot (C_{i+1} + C_{w_i})$$
(20)

The total delay of gate *i* is: $D_i = D_{C_i} + D_{R_i} + const$ (21)

So to obtain the least delay the derivatives of the delay components with respect to the logic gate size x_i have to be equal to 0,

$$\frac{\partial D_{C_i}}{\partial x_i} = \left(R_{i-1} + R_{w_{i-1}}\right) \cdot C_0 \cdot g_i ,$$

$$\frac{\partial D_{R_i}}{\partial x_i} = -\frac{R_0}{x_i^2} \left(C_{i+1} + C_{w_i}\right) ,$$

$$\frac{\partial D_i}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial D_{C_i}}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial D_{R_i}}{\partial x_i} = 0 .$$
(22)

Solving this equation provides the optimal sizing factor x_{iopt} ,

$$x_{iopt} = \sqrt{\frac{R_0}{\left(R_{i-1} + R_{w_{i-1}}\right)} \cdot \frac{\left(C_{i+1} + C_{w_i}\right)}{C_0 \cdot g_i}}$$
(23)

Example:

The method of ULE is applied to a logic path with nine identical NAND gates with equal wire segments for various lengths shown in the Figure 9. The input capacitance of the first and the last stage are $10.C_0$ and $100.C_0$, respectively. The solution range between two limits:

- For zero wire lengths the solution converges to LE optimization (delay evaluation and minimization without considering on-chip wires)
- For long wires, the gate size in the middle of the path converges to a fixed value, *x*_{iopt}=50



Figure 6: Optimization of ULE sizing (normalized with respect to C₀) for a chain of nine NAND gates with equal wire segments for a variety of lengths [8]

3.4 ULE Optimization in paths with branches

The ULE method can be also used in paths including branches or gates with multiple fanout.



Figure 7: A logic path segment including RC interconnect and two branches

The optimum condition of this case is:

$$(R_{i+1} + R_{w_{i-1}}) \cdot C_i = R_i \cdot (C_{i+1} + C_{w_i} + C_{b_{i+1}} + C_{f_{i+1}} + C_{b_{i+1}} + C_{b_{i+1}} + C_{f_{i+1}})$$

$$C_{bf1} \qquad C_{bf2}$$

$$(24)$$

(25)



Figure 8: Equivalent circuit with the effective branch and fanout capacitances

Introducing $C_{BF} = \sum_{1}^{n} c_{b_n} + \sum_{1}^{m} C_{f_m}$ the optimum condition can be simplified to: $(R_{i-1} + R_{w_{i-1}}) \cdot C_i = R_i \cdot (C_{i+1} + C_{w_i} + C_{BF_i})$

3.5 Comparison with benchmark circuits

ULE Optimization is compared with the results of Cadence Virtuoso® Analog Optimizer, a numerical optimizer that uses a circuit simulator for delay modeling.

The delay of a four-bit carry-lookahead adder is minimized with three methods: LE, ULE and the Analaog Optimizer (AO). All three optimization results are presented in Figure 12.



Figure 9: Delay of a carry-lookahead adder for various wire segment lengths after gate size optimization by LE, ULE and Analog Optimizer (AO) [9]

The results of the ULE optimization are very close to the results of the numerical optimizer. But the LE method becomes more and more inaccurate with the increasing wire lengths.

Comparing the runtimes the ULE is the fastest method for delay evaluation and minimization.

4. SUMMARY

Delay minimization through logic gate sizing is a main task in integrated circuit design. Due to the continuous scaling of the integrated circuits, the interconnect has to be taken into consideration to get the greatest speed. Thus the Logical Effort model can't achieve the desired optimization anymore. The Unified Logical Effort has been introduced as an extension of the Logical Effort method solving the problem and considering not only the logic gates but also the on-chip wires to get the least delay. The ULE provides optimum conditions to achieve the optimal gate sizing in logical paths with wires. The delay component caused by the gate capacitance has to be equal to the delay caused by the gate resistance. If the wires' lengths are negligible the ULE solutions converge to the LE solutions.

Compared with the industrial Analog Optimizer tool the ULE optimization shows in much shorter runtime close results in terms of delay.

Thanks to the short runtime and the similar accuracy the ULE has a high potential to be integrated into EDA tools.

5. LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Delay in inverter
Figure 2: Inside the inverter
Figure 3: The General RC Inverter Model5
Figure 4: How to evaluate the delay considering the wires?
Figure 5: RC-Circuit
Figure 6: Cascaded logic gates with RC-interconnect9
Figure 7: Logic gate delay with interconnect10
Abbildung 8: Cascaded logic gates with RC-interconnect10
Figure 9: Optimization of ULE sizing (normalized with respect to C_0) for a chain of nine NAND
gates with equal wire segments for a variety of lengths12
Figure 10: A logic path segment including RC interconnect and two branches13
Figure 11: Equivalent circuit with the effective branch and fanout capacitances13
Figure 12: Delay of a carry-lookahead adder for various wire segment lengths after gate size
optimization by LE, ULE and Analog Optimizer (AO)14
permitter (110)

6. **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

[1] Arkadiy Morgenshtein, Eby G. Friedman, Ran Ginosar and Avinoam Kolodny. *Unified Logical Effort- A Method for Delay Evaluation and Minimization in Logic Paths with RC Interconnect*

[2] Ivan Sutherland, Bob Sproull and David Harris, *Logical Effort*: *Designing Fast CMOS Circuits*, Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, 1999.

[3] I.E.Sutherland and R.F.Sproull, "Logical Effort: Designing for Speed on the Back of an envelope, "*Proceeding of the university of California/Santa Cruz Conference on Advanced Research in VLSI (ARVLSI)*, pp. 1-16, 1991.

[4] Ivan Sutherland, Bob Sproull and David Harris, *Logical Effort*: *Designing Fast CMOS Circuits*, Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, pp. 80-82, 1999.

[5] Ivan Sutherland, Bob Sproull and David Harris, *Logical Effort*: *Designing Fast CMOS Circuits*, Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, pp. 5-12, 1999.

[6] Ivan Sutherland, Bob Sproull and David Harris, *Logical Effort*: *Designing Fast CMOS Circuits*, Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, pp. 5-12, 63-69, 1999.

[7] W.C.Elmore, "The Transient Response of Damped Linear Networks with Particular Regard to Wide Band Amplifiers," *Journal of Applied Physics*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp.55-63, January 1948.

[8] Arkadiy Morgenshtein, Eby G. Friedman, Ran Ginosar and Avinoam Kolodny. *Unified Logical Effort- A Method for Delay Evaluation and Minimization in Logic Paths with RC Interconnect*, p 9.

[9] Arkadiy Morgenshtein, Eby G. Friedman, Ran Ginosar and Avinoam Kolodny. *Unified Logical Effort- A Method for Delay Evaluation and Minimization in Logic Paths with RC Interconnect*, p 12.